arXiv:1510.02948v1 [math.CO] 10 Oct 2015

CONSTRUCTION OF FULLERENES

V.M.BUCHSTABER, N.YU.EROKHOVETS

Abstract. We present an infinite series of operations on fullerenes generalizing the Endo-Kroto operation, such that each combinatorial fullerene is obtained from the dodecahedron by a sequence of such operations. We prove that these operations are invertible in the proper sense, and are compositions of $(1;4,5)-$, $(1;5,5)$-, $(2,6;4,5)$-, $(2,6;5,5)$-, $(2,6;5,6)$-, $(2,7;5,5)$-, and $(2,7;5,6)$-truncations, where each truncation increases the number of hexagons by one.

1. Introduction

The study of fullerenes is stimulated by problems of the quantum physics, the quantum chemistry and the nanotechnology [LMR06]. For an introduction to the mathematical study of fullerenes we refer to [DSS13]. The effective algorithm of enumeration of combinatorial types of fullerenes was described in [BD97].

The Endo-Kroto operation (Fig. 1, see [EK92]) is an operation on fullerenes that increases the number p_6 of hexagons by one. It allows to obtain a fullerene with arbitrary number $p_6 \geqslant 2$ from the Barrel fullerene ($p_6 = 2$). For details see, for example, [DSS13].



Figure 1. The Endo-Kroto operation

The generalizations of the Endo-Kroto operation were studied in [BFFG06, GG10, GGG14]. The idea is to transform one fullerene to other by replacement of one fragment by another fragment with the same boundary. If the operation increases the number of facets, then this is named a *growth-operation*. If the operation does not change the number of facets, then it is named an *isomerization-operation*. In [BFFG06] it is mentioned that

(1) an infinite number of growth operations would be needed to obtain all fullerenes;
(2) it is an open question whether a combination of a finite number of isomerization and growth steps can access all fullerenes. This question could be answered in the negative if it could be shown that isomerization fragments with less than two pentagons cannot occur as parts of fullerenes.

In [GG10] it was proved that isomerization patches with less than two pentagons, if they exist, must be relatively large.

In this paper we present an infinite number of grows-operations that produce all combinatorial fullerenes from the dodecahedron. Most of our operations one can find in [GG10].

The paper has the following structure.

The work was supported by the RSCF grant No 14-11-00414.

In Section 2 we give necessary information about polytopes.

In Section 3 we develop the technique of k-belts.

In Section 4 we present the technique of (s,k)-truncations with inverse operations of straightenings along edges [BE15].

In Section 5 we prove the main result by the following scheme.

- First we prove that any combinatorial fullerene except for the dodecahedron contains one of the fragments from the given infinite series.
- Then we prove that any fragment from the given series can be moved to the other fragment by a sequence of finite number of straightenings along edges, which are well defined.

2. Definitions

For an introduction to the polytope theory we recommend the books [Gb03, Z07].

Definition 2.1. A *convex polytope* P is a bounded intersections of finite number of halfspaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Equivalently, it is a convex hull of a finite set of points in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Denote by $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ the set of all facets of P. Denote by s_i the number of edges of F_i. By definition $\dim P = \dim \operatorname{aff}(P)$.

For any collection S of facets set $|S| = \bigcup_{F_i \in S} F_i$. Then $|\mathcal{F}| = \partial P$ is the boundary of P.

In what follows by a *polytope* we mean a convex polytope. Polytopes of dimension n we call *n-polytopes*.

An n-polytope is called *simple* if any it's vertex belongs to exactly n facets.

For a polytope P denote by $f_i(P)$ be the number of i-dimensional faces and by $p_k(P)$ the number of k-gonal 2-faces.

Proposition 2.2 (The Euler formula)**.** *For a 3-polytope we have*

$$f_0 - f_1 + f_2 = 2$$

The following fact is a corollary of the Euler formula

Proposition 2.3. *For a simple 3-polytope P we have*

$$3p_3 + 2p_4 + p_5 = 12 + \sum_{k \geqslant 7} (k-6)p_k. \tag{1}$$

Definition 2.4. A *combinatorial polytope* is an equivalence class of combinatorially equivalent convex polytopes, where two polytopes P and Q are *combinatorially equivalent* (we denote it $P \simeq Q$), if there is an inclusion-preserving bijection of the sets of their faces.

Definition 2.5. A (mathematical) *fullerene* is a simple 3-polytope with all facets pentagons and hexagons.

A fullerene is called an *IPR-fullerene*, if it has no incident pentagons.

The formula (1) implies the following.

Corollary 2.6. *For a fullerene P we have $p_5 = 12$.*

Theorem 2.7 ([Eb1891, Br1900], see also [Gb03])**.** *Any simple 3-polytope is combinatorially equivalent to a polytope that is obtained from the tetrahedron by a sequence of* vertex, edge *and* $(2,k)$-truncations.

Recall some terminology from the graph theory.



FIGURE 2. Truncations of polytopes

Definition 2.8. A graph is called *simple* if it has no loops and multiple edges.

A *path* in a graph Γ is a sequence of vertices $(\boldsymbol{v}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_l)$ with $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{i+1}$ being connected by an edge for $i = 1, \dots, l-1$.

A *cycle* is a closed path $(\boldsymbol{v}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_{l+1})$, where $\boldsymbol{v}_{l+1} = \boldsymbol{v}_1$. We will denote a cycle by $(\boldsymbol{v}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_l)$. A cycle is called *simple* if it passes any vertex at most once. A cycle is called *non-chordal*, if it has no *chords* – edges of the graph, connecting non-successive vertices of a cycle.

A connected graph Γ with at least 4 edges is called 3-*connected* if deletion of any one or any two vertices with all incident edges gives a connected graph.

Graph $G(P)$ of a polytope P is a graph of vertices and edges of P. Paths and cycles in $G(P)$ we call *edge-paths* and *edge-cycles*.

Our main constructions are based on the following result.

Theorem 2.9 (Steinitz, see [Z07])**.** *A graph Γ is a graph $G(P)$ of some 3-polytope P if and only if it is simple, planar and 3-connected.*

We will implicitly use the following version of the Jordan curve theorem, which can be proved rather directly.

Theorem 2.10. *Let P be a simple 3-polytope, and γ be a simple edge-cycle. Then*

1. *$\partial P \setminus \gamma$ consists of two connected components $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$.*
2. *Let $\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{F_j \in \mathcal{F} \colon \operatorname{int} F_j \subset \mathcal{C}_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, $\alpha = 1, 2$. Then $\mathcal{D}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{D}_2 = \mathcal{F}$.*
3. *Closure $\overline{\mathcal{C}_\alpha}$ is homeomorphic to a disk. We have $\overline{\mathcal{C}_\alpha} = |\mathcal{D}_\alpha|$.*

3. BELTS ON FULLERENES

Definition 3.1. Let P be a simple polytope.

A *thick path* is a sequence of facets $(F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k})$, such that $F_{i_j} \cap F_{i_{j+1}}$ is an edge for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$.

A *k-loop*, $k \geqslant 2$, is a closed thick path $\mathcal{L} = (F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k}, F_{i_{k+1}})$, $F_{i_{k+1}} = F_{i_1}$. We denote it simply by $(F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k})$. By definition a 1-loop $\mathcal{L}$ consists of one facet F_{i_1}. A k-loop is *simple*, if it consist of pairwise different facets.

Definition 3.2. Let γ be a simple edge-cycle. Walking round γ in $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ we obtain an l_α-loop $\mathcal{L}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{D}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$. We say that $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ *borders* the cycle γ.

An l_1-loop $\mathcal{L}_1 = (F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_{l_1}})$ *borders* an l_2-loop $\mathcal{L}_2 = (F_{j_1}, \dots, F_{j_{l_2}})$, if they border the same edge-cycle γ. If $l_2 = 1$, then $\mathcal{L}_1$ *surrounds* a facet F_{j_1}.

Let $F_{i_p} \in \mathcal{L}_1$ has a_p^1 edges in common with γ, and $F_{j_q} \in \mathcal{L}_2$ has a_q^2 edges in common with γ.

Lemma 3.3. *If $\mathcal{L}_1$ borders $\mathcal{L}_2$, then one of the following holds:*

1. *$\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is a 1-loop, and $\mathcal{L}_\beta$ is an a_1^β-loop, $a_1^\beta \geqslant 3$, for some $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$, and $\beta = \{1, 2\} \setminus \{\alpha\}$;*
2. *$l_1, l_2 \geqslant 2$, and $l_\alpha = \sum_{r=1}^{l_\beta} (a_r^\beta - 1) = \sum_{r=1}^{l_\beta} a_r^\beta - l_\beta$ for any $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$, $\beta = \{1, 2\} \setminus \{\alpha\}$.*

Proof. If $l_2 = 1$, then γ is the boundary edge-cycle of F_{j_1}, successive edges of γ belong to different facets of $\mathcal{L}_1$, and $l_1 = a_1^2$. Similarly for $l_1 = 1$.

Let $l_1, l_2 \geqslant 2$. Any edge of γ is an intersection of a facet of $\mathcal{L}_1$ with a facet of $\mathcal{L}_2$. The successive edges in γ belong to the same facet in $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ if and only if they belong to successive facets in $\mathcal{L}_\beta$, hence $l_\alpha = \sum_{r=1}^{l_\beta}(a_r^\beta - 1) = \sum_{r=1}^{l_\beta} -l_\beta$. □

Definition 3.4. A *k-belt*, $k \geqslant 3$, is a k-loop $\mathcal{B} = (F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k})$ such that

- $F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_2} \cap F_{i_3} = \varnothing$, if $k = 3$;
- $F_{i_p} \cap F_{i_q} \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $(p, q) \in \{(1,2), (2,3), \dots, (k-1, k), (k, 1)\}$, if $k \geqslant 4$. .

A simple 3-polytope $P \neq \Delta^3$ without 3-belts is called a *flag* 3-polytope.

Remark 3.5. For the simplicial polytope P^* dual to the simple polytope P the notion of a k-belt corresponds to a non-chordal k-cycle that does not surround a triangular facet.



FIGURE 3. 4-belt

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{B} = (F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k})$ be a k-belt. Then*

(1) *$|\mathcal{B}|$ is homeomorphic to a cylinder;*
(2) *$\partial|\mathcal{B}|$ consists of two simple edge-cycles γ_1 and γ_2.*
(3) *$\partial P \setminus |\mathcal{B}|$ consists of two connected components $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$.*
(4) *Let $\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \{F_j \in \mathcal{F} \colon \mathrm{int} F_j \subset \mathcal{P}_\alpha\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, $\alpha = 1, 2$. Then $\mathcal{W}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{W}_2 \sqcup \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{F}$.*
(5) *$\overline{\mathcal{P}_\alpha} = |\mathcal{W}_\alpha|$ is homeomorphic to a disk, $\alpha = 1, 2$.*
(6) *$\partial\mathcal{P}_\alpha = \partial\overline{\mathcal{P}_\alpha} = \gamma_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2$.*

The proof is straightforward using Theorem 2.10.

Let a facet $F_{i_j} \in \mathcal{B}$ has α_j edges in γ_α and β_j edges in γ_β, $\beta = \{1, 2\} \setminus \{\alpha\}$. If F_{i_j} is an s_{i_j}-gon, then $\alpha_j + \beta_j = s_{i_j} - 2$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let P be a simple polytope with $p_3 = 0$, $p_k = 0$, $k \geqslant 8$, $p_7 \leqslant 1$, and let $\mathcal{B}_k$ be a k-belt consisting of b_i i-gons, $4 \leqslant i \leqslant 7$. Then one of the following holds:*

(1) *$\mathcal{B}_k$ surrounds two k-gonal facets $F_s : \{F_s\} = \mathcal{W}_1$, and $F_t : \{F_t\} = \mathcal{W}_2$, and all facets of $\mathcal{B}_k$ are quadrangles;*
(2) *$\mathcal{B}_k$ surrounds a k-gonal facet $F_s : \{F_s\} = \mathcal{W}_\alpha$, and borders an l_β-loop $\mathcal{L}_\beta \subset \mathcal{W}_\beta$, $\beta = \{1, 2\} \setminus \{\alpha\}$, $l_\beta = p_5 + 2p_6 + 3p_7 \geqslant 2$;*
(3) *$\mathcal{B}_k$ borders an l_1-loop $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{W}_1$ and an l_2-loop $\mathcal{L}_2 \subset W_2$, where*
   (a) *$l_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{k}(\alpha_j - 1) \geqslant 2$, $\alpha = 1, 2$;*
   (b) *$l_1 + l_2 = 2k - 2b_4 - b_5 + b_7 \leqslant 2k + 1$.*
   (c) *$\min\{l_1, l_2\} \leqslant k - b_4 - \lceil \frac{b_5 - b_7}{2} \rceil \leqslant k$.*
   (d) *If $b_7 = 0$, $l_1, l_2 \geqslant k$, then $l_1 = l_2 = k$, $b_4 = b_5 = 0$, $b_6 = k$.*

If $l_\alpha = 2$, *then* $\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \{F_i, F_j\}$, *and* $k = s_i + s_j - 4 \geqslant 4$.



FIGURE 4. 2-loop with $p = q = 5$

Proof. Walking round γ_α in $\mathcal{P}_\alpha$ we obtain an l_α-loop $\mathcal{L}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{W}_\alpha$.

If $\mathcal{B}_k$ surrounds two k-gons $F_s : \{F_s\} = \mathcal{W}_1$, and $F_t : \{F_t\} = \mathcal{W}_2$, then all facets in $\mathcal{B}_k$ are quadrangles.

If $\mathcal{B}_k$ surrounds a k-gon $F_s : \{F_s\} = \mathcal{W}_\alpha$ and borders an l_β-loop $\mathcal{L}_\beta \subset W_\beta$, $l_\beta \geqslant 2$, then from Lemma 3.3 we have $l_\beta = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k}(s_{j_i} - 3 - 1) = \sum\limits_{j=4}^{7} jb_j - 4\sum\limits_{j=4}^{7} b_j = b_5 + 2b_6 + 3b_7$.

If $\mathcal{B}_k$ borders an l_1-loop $\mathcal{L}_1$ and an l_2-loop $\mathcal{L}_2$, $l_1, l_2 \geqslant 2$, then (a) follows from Lemma 3.3.

$$l_1+l_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(\alpha_i+\beta_i-2) = \sum_{i=1}^{k}(s_{j_i}-4) = \sum_{j=4}^{7} jb_j-4\sum_{j=4}^{7} b_j = b_5+2b_6+3b_7 = 2k-2b_4-b_5+b_7.$$

We have $\min\{l_1, l_2\} \leqslant k - b_4 - \lceil \frac{b_5-b_7}{2} \rceil \leqslant k$

If $b_7 = 0$ and $l_1, l_2 \geqslant k$, then from (3b) we have $l_1 = l_2 = k$, $b_4 = b_5 = 0$, $b_6 = k$.

The last statement follows from Lemma 3.3. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let* P *be a simple polytope with* $p_3 = 0$. *If a* 3*-loop* $\mathcal{L}_3 = (F_i, F_j, F_k)$ *is not a* 3*-belt, then* $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k$ *is a vertex (Fig. 5a), and* $\mathcal{L}_3$ *borders a* k*-loop,* $k = s_i + s_j + s_k - 9 \geqslant 3$.



а) б)

FIGURE 5.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}_3$ is not a 3-belt, $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k$ is a vertex. Any two vertices in $\mathrm{vert}(F_r)$ are different. Let two vertices $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathrm{vert}(F_i)$ and $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathrm{vert}(F_j)$ coincide. Then $\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in F_i \cap F_j$. From this we obtain that the vertices $\mathrm{vert}(F_i) \cup \mathrm{vert}(F_j) \cup \mathrm{vert}(F_k) \setminus \{F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k\}$ form a simple edge-cycle γ with $\{F_i, F_j, F_k\} = \mathcal{D}_\alpha$. Walking round γ in $\mathcal{C}_\beta$ we obtain a k-loop. Lemma 3.3 implies $k = (s_i - 2) + (s_j - 2) + (s_k - 2) - 3 = s_i + s_j + s_k - 9$. Since $s_i, s_j, s_k \geqslant 4$, we have $k \geqslant 3$. □

Theorem 3.9. *Let P be simple 3-polytope with $p_3 = 0$, $p_4 \leqslant 2$, $p_7 \leqslant 1$, and $p_k = 0$, $k \geqslant 8$. Then it has no 3-belts. In particular, it is a flag polytope.*

Proof. Let P has a 3-belt $\mathcal{B}_3$. By Lemma 3.7 it borders an l_1-loop $\mathcal{L}_1$ and l_2-loop $\mathcal{L}_2$, where $l_1, l_2 \geqslant 2$, $l_1 + l_2 \leqslant 7$. Also from Lemma 3.7 we have $l_1, l_2 \geqslant 3$, and $\min\{l_1, l_2\} = 3$. If $\mathcal{B}_3$ contains a heptagon, then $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2$ contain no heptagons. If $\mathcal{B}_3$ contains no heptagons, then $l_1 = l_2 = 3$, and one of the sets $\mathcal{W}_1$ and $\mathcal{W}_2$, say $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$, contains no heptagons. In both cases we obtain a set $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$ without heptagons and a 3-loop $\mathcal{L}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{W}_\alpha$. Then $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is a 3-belt, else by Lemma 3.8 $\mathcal{B}_3$ should have at least $4 + 4 + 5 - 9 = 4$ facets. Considering the other boundary component of $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ we obtain again a 3-belt there. Thus we obtain an infinite series of different 3-belts inside $|\mathcal{W}_\alpha|$. A contradiction. □

Corollary 3.10 ([BE15])**.** *Any fullerene is a flag polytope.*

In what follows we will implicitly use the fact that if a 3-polytope has no 3-belts, then any set of 3 pairwise intersecting facets has a common vertex.

Lemma 3.11. *Any k-gonal facet, $k \geqslant 3$, of a flag 3-polytope P is surrounded by a k-belt.*

Proof. A k-gonal facet F is surrounded by a k-loop $\mathcal{L}_k = (F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k})$. If $F_{i_p} \cap F_{i_q} \neq \varnothing$, then $F_{i_p} \cap F_{i_q} \cap F$ is a vertex, therefore the facets are successive while walking round the boundary of F. If $k > 3$, this implies that $\mathcal{L}_k$ is a k-belt. For $k = 3$ let $F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_2} \cap F_{i_3} \neq \varnothing$. Then it is a vertex. But $F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_2} \cap F$, $F_{i_2} \cap F_{i_3} \cap F$, and $F_{i_3} \cap F_{i_1} \cap F$ are also vertices, hence $P \simeq \Delta^3$. Thus $\mathcal{L}_k$ is indeed a k-belt. □

Corollary 3.12. *For a flag polytope we have $p_3 = 0$.*

Lemma 3.13. *Let P ba a flag polytope. If a simple 4-loop $\mathcal{L}_4 = (F_i, F_j, F_k, F_l)$ is not a 4-belt, then it consist of 4 facets surrounding an edge (Fig. 6a), and $\mathcal{L}_4$ borders a k-loop, $k = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 - 14 \geqslant 2$.*



а) б)

FIGURE 6.

Proof. If $\mathcal{L}_4$ is not a 4-belt, then either $F_i \cap F_k \neq \varnothing$, or $F_j \cap F_l \neq \varnothing$. Without loss of generality let $F_i \cap F_k \neq \varnothing$. Then $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k$ and $F_i \cap F_k \cap F_l$ are vertices, therefore $\mathcal{L}_4$ surrounds an edge $F_i \cap F_k$. We have $F_j \cap F_l = \varnothing$, else (F_i, F_j, F_l) is a 3-belt. Then if two vertices in $\operatorname{vert}(F_i) \cup \operatorname{vert}(F_j) \cup \operatorname{vert}(F_k) \cup \operatorname{vert}(F_l) \setminus \{F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k, F_i \cap F_k \cap F_l\}$ coincide, they lie in $F_i \cap F_j$, $F_j \cap F_k$, $F_k \cap F_l$, or $F_l \cap F_i$. Therefore these vertices form a simple edge-cycle $\partial|\mathcal{L}_4|$ and $\mathcal{L}_4$ borders a k-loop. By Lemma 3.3 we have

$$k = (s_i - 3) + (s_j - 2) + (s_k - 3) + (s_l - 2) - 4 = s_i + s_j + s_k + s_l - 14 \geqslant 4 + 4 + 4 + 4 - 14 = 2.$$

□

Lemma 3.14. *Let P be a flag polytope with $p_4 \leqslant 2$. If a 4-belt $\mathcal{B}_k$ borders a 4-loop $\mathcal{L}_4$, then all facets in $\mathcal{L}_4$ are different.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}_4 = (F_i, F_j, F_k, F_l)$ and $\mathcal{B}_k$ border the same simple edge-cycle γ. The successive facets in $\mathcal{L}_4$ are different by definition. Let $F_i = F_k$. Then $F_j \neq F_l$, else $\mathcal{L}_4 = (F_i, F_j, F_i, F_j)$ and some vertex in $F_i \cap F_j$ is passed twice while walking round γ. Also $F_j \cap F_l \neq \varnothing$, else $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_l \in \mathrm{int}|\mathcal{L}_4|$ is a vertex, and the other vertex of $F_i \cap F_j$ is passed twice while walking round γ. Thus, $\mathcal{L}_4$ consists of a facet F_i and facets F_j and F_l that intersect F_i by non-incident edges. If an edge $E \in F_r$ lies in γ, $r = i, j, l$, then walking from E round ∂F_r in both directions we obtain edges in γ until we meet a vertex in $\{F_i, F_j, F_l\} \setminus \{F_r\}$. Hence $\gamma = \partial(F_i \cup F_j \cup F_l)$, and by Lemma 3.3 $\mathcal{B}_k$ has $(s_i - 2 - 2) + (s_j - 2) + (s_l - 2) = s_i + s_j + s_l - 8 \geqslant 4 + 4 + 5 - 8 = 5$ facets. A contradiction. □

Theorem 3.15. *Let P be a simple polytope with all facets pentagons and hexagons with at most one exceptional facet F being a quadrangle or a heptagon.*

(1) *If P has no quadrangles, then P has no 4-belts.*
(2) *If P has a quadrangle F, then there is exactly one 4-belt. It surrounds F.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.9 the polytope P is flag.

By Lemma 3.11 a quadrangular facet is surrounded by a 4-belt.

Let $\mathcal{B}_4$ be a 4-belt that does not surround a quadrangular facet. By Lemma 3.7 it borders an l_1-loop $\mathcal{L}_1$ and l_2-loop $\mathcal{L}_2$, where $l_1, l_2 \geqslant 2$, and $l_1 + l_2 \leqslant 9$. We have $l_1, l_2 \geqslant 3$, since a 2-loop borders a k-loop with $k \geqslant 4 + 5 - 4 = 5$. We have $l_1, l_2 \geqslant 4$ by Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 3.8, since a 3-loop that is not a 3-belt borders a k-loop with $k \geqslant 4 + 5 + 5 - 9 = 5$. Also $\min\{l_1, l_2\} = 4$. If $\mathcal{B}_4$ contains a heptagon, then $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2$ contain no heptagons. If $\mathcal{B}_3$ contains no heptagons, then $l_1 = l_2 = 4$, and one of the sets $\mathcal{W}_1$ and $\mathcal{W}_2$, say $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$, contains no heptagons. In both cases we obtain a set $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$ without heptagons and a 4-loop $\mathcal{L}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{W}_\alpha$. Then $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is a 4-belt, else by Lemmas 3.13 and 3.14 $\mathcal{B}_4$ should have at least $4 + 5 + 5 + 5 - 14 = 5$ facets. Applying the same argument to $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ instead of $\mathcal{B}_k$, we have that either $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ surrounds on the opposite side a quadrangle, or it borders a 4-belt and consists of hexagons. In the first case by Lemma 3.7 $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ consists of pentagons. Thus we can move inside $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$ until we finish with a quadrangle. If P has no quadrangles, then we obtain a contradiction. If P has a quadrangle F, then it has no heptagons, therefore moving inside $\mathcal{W}_\beta$ we should meet some other quadrangle. A contradiction. □

Corollary 3.16. *Fullerenes have no 4-belts.*

Lemma 3.17. *Let P be a fullerene, and let a k-loop $\mathcal{L}_k$ border a 5-belt $\mathcal{B}_5$. Then the facets of $\mathcal{L}_k$ are pairwise different.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}_5 = (F_i, F_j, F_k, F_l, F_r)$, and let the facet $F_s \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha$ be incident to non-successive facets of $\mathcal{B}_5$, say F_i and F_k. We obtain a 4-loop (F_i, F_j, F_k, F_s). Since $F_i \cap F_k = \varnothing$, Theorem 3.15 implies $F_j \cap F_s \neq \varnothing$. Then $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_s$, $F_j \cap F_k \cap F_s$ are vertices, and $F_j \cap \gamma_\alpha$ consists of one edge $F_j \cap F_s$. Thus if the facet $F_s \in \mathcal{W}_\alpha$ intersects two facets from $\mathcal{B}_5$ by E_1 and E_2, then all the edges in one of the arcs of ∂F_s between E_1 and E_2 belong to γ_α, and F_s can not appear twice in $\mathcal{L}_k$. □

Lemma 3.18. *Let P be a fullerene. If a simple 5-loop $\mathcal{L}_5 = (F_i, F_j, F_k, F_l, F_r)$ is not a 5-belt, then up to a relabeling it has a form drawn on the Fig. 7. Moreover, it borders a k-loop, $k = s_i + s_j + s_k + s_l + s_r - 19 \geqslant 6$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{L}_5$ is not a 5-belt, then some two non-successive facets intersect. Without loss of generality assume $F_i \cap F_k \neq \varnothing$. Then $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k$ is a vertex. For 4-loop (F_i, F_k, F_l, F_r) from Theorem 3.15 we obtain $F_i \cap F_l \neq \varnothing$ or $F_k \cap F_r \neq \varnothing$. Both cases



FIGURE 7. 5-loop

give the declared fragment. Let the labeling be as on Fig 7. We have $F_i \cap F_l = \varnothing$, else (F_i, F_k, F_l) is a 3-belt, since $F_l \neq F_j$, $F_l \neq F_r$. By the symmetry $F_r \cap F_j = \varnothing$. We have $F_j \cap F_l \neq \varnothing$, else $F_j \cap F_l \cap F_k$ is a vertex and F_k is a quadrangle. Then if two vertices in $\mathrm{vert}(F_i) \cup \mathrm{vert}(F_j) \cup \mathrm{vert}(F_k) \cup \mathrm{vert}(F_l) \cup \mathrm{vert}(F_r) \setminus \{F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k, F_i \cap F_k \cap F_r, F_k \cap F_l \cap F_r\}$ coincide, they lie in $F_i \cap F_j$, $F_j \cap F_k$, $F_k \cap F_l$, $F_l \cap F_r$ or $F_r \cap F_i$. Therefore these vertices form a simple edge-cycle $\partial|\mathcal{L}_5|$ and $\mathcal{L}_5$ borders a k-loop. By Lemma 3.3 we have $k = (s_i - 4) + (s_j - 3) + (s_k - 5) + (s_l - 3) + (s_r - 4) = s_i + s_j + s_k + s_l + s_r - 19 \geqslant 25 - 19 = 6$. □

Theorem 3.19. *Let P be a fullerene.*

(1) *Facets that surround a pentagon, form a 5-belt. There are 12 of them.*
(2) *If P has a 5-belt $\mathcal{B}$ not of this type, then*
   (a) *$\mathcal{B}$ consists of hexagons incident with neighbors by opposite edges;*
   (b) *P consists of k belts of this type bordering each other and two caps 8a).*



FIGURE 8.

   (c) *There are exactly $12 + k$ five-belts.*
(3) *If a fullerene P has fragment 8a), then P has the form as in (2) for $k \geqslant 0$.*

Definition 3.20. We will call the family of combinatorial polytopes arising in (2) by *Family I*. They are enumerated by the parameter $k \geqslant 0$. For $k = 0$ we have the dodecahedron. The k-th fullerene has $p_6 = 5k$.

Proof. (1) Follows from Lemma 3.11.

(2) Let the 5-belt $\mathcal{B}_5$ do not surround a pentagon. By Lemma 3.7 it borders an l_1-loop $\mathcal{L}_1 \subset \mathcal{W}_1$ and an l_2-loop $\mathcal{L}_2 \subset \mathcal{W}_2$, $l_1, l_2 \geqslant 3$, $l_1 + l_2 \leqslant 10$. From Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 3.8 we obtain $l_1, l_2 \geqslant 4$. From Lemmas 3.13 and 3.14, and Theorem 3.15 we obtain that $l_1, l_2 \geqslant 5$ and all facets in $\mathcal{B}_5$ are hexagons. From Lemmas 3.17 and 3.18 we obtain that $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ are 5-belts. Moving inside $\mathcal{W}_1$ we obtain a series of hexagonal 5-belts, and this series can stop only if the last 5-belt $\mathcal{B}_l$ surrounds a pentagon. Since $\mathcal{B}_l$ borders a 5-belt, Lemma 3.7 implies that $\mathcal{B}_l$ consists of pentagons, which have $(2, 2, 2, 2, 2)$ edges on the common boundary with a 5-belt. We obtain the fragment 8a. Moving from this fragment backward we obtain a series of hexagonal 5-belts including $\mathcal{B}_5$ with facets having

$(2,2,2,2,2)$ edges on both boundaries. This series can finish only with fragment 8a again. Thus any belt not surrounding a pentagon belongs to this series and the number of 5-belts is equal to $12+k$.

(3) By Lemma 3.11 the outer 5-loop of the fragment 8a is a 5-belt. By Lemma 3.17 it borders a simple 5-loop. By Lemma 3.18 it is a 5-belt. If this belt surrounds a pentagon, then we obtain a combinatorial dodecahedron (case $k=0$). If not, then P has the form we need by (2). □

Theorem 3.21. *If a fullerene P has the fragment from Fig. 9a), then P has the following structure.*



FIGURE 9.

(1) *Start with a).*
(2) *Make k steps of the following type: add three hexagons incident to the faces with single edges on the boundary.*
(3) *After each step the boundary facets have still $(1,3,1,3,1,3)$ edges on the boundary.*
(4) *In the end glue up the fragment a).*

Definition 3.22. We will call the family of combinatorial fullerenes arising in Theorem 3.21 by *Family II*. They are enumerated by the parameter $k \geqslant 0$. The fullerene with $k=0$ is the dodecahedron. The k-th fullerene has $p_6 = 3k$.

Remark 3.23. In the literature fullerenes like those in Family I and Family II are called *capped nanotubes*.

Proof. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.24. *Let P be a fullerene. Let a 6-loop $\mathcal{L}_1 = (F_p, F_t, F_q, F_u, F_v, F_w)$ border a simple 6-loop $\mathcal{L}_2 = (F_i, F_j, F_k, F_l, F_r, F_s)$ with $(1,3,1,3,1,3)$ edges on the boundary (Fig. 10a). Then $\mathcal{L}_6$ is simple and either forms the fragment on Fig. 10b), or F_p, F_q, F_v are hexagons and the 6-loop $\mathcal{L}_3 = (F_j, F_q, F_l, F_v, F_s, F_p)$ is simple and has $(1,3,1,3,1,3)$ edges on the boundary component intersecting F_t.*



FIGURE 10.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ border the same simple edge-cycle γ.

We have $F_p \neq F_q$, since they intersect F_j by different edges. Also $F_p \cap F_q = \varnothing$, else $F_p \cap F_q \cap F_j$ is a vertex and F_j has only 2 edges in γ, but not 3. Similarly $F_p \cap F_v = \varnothing$. Then $F_p \neq F_u$, else $F_p \cap F_q \neq \varnothing$. We have $F_t \neq F_u$, else F_q is a quadrangle. By the symmetry we obtain that the 6-loop $\mathcal{L}_6$ is simple and $F_p \cap F_q = F_q \cap F_v = F_v \cap F_q = \varnothing$.

Let $F_t \cap F_w \neq \varnothing$. Then $F_p \cap F_t \cap F_w$ is a vertex and F_p is a pentagon. We have a simple 5-loop $\mathcal{L}_5 = (F_t, F_q, F_u, F_v, F_w)$. It is not a 5-belt, else by theorem 3.19 it should either surround a pentagon and have $(1,1,1,1,1)$ and $(\beta_t, \beta_q, \beta_u, \beta_v, \beta_w)$, $\beta_j \geqslant 3$, edges on the boundary components, or consist of hexagons and have $(2,2,2,2,2)$ edges on each boundary component. But (F_q, F_u) have $(3,1)$ edges on the boundary component. We have $F_t \cap F_v \neq \varnothing$, else $F_t \cap F_w \cap F_v$ is a vertex and F_w is a quadrangle. Similarly $F_q \cap F_w = \varnothing$. Also recall that $F_q \cap F_w = \varnothing$. Then either $F_t \cap F_u \neq \varnothing$, or $F_u \cap F_w \neq \varnothing$. In the first case (F_t, F_u, F_v, F_w) is a simple 4-loop with $F_t \cap F_v = \varnothing$. Then Corollary 3.16 implies $F_u \cap F_w \neq \varnothing$. Then $F_u \cap F_w \cap F_v$ and $F_u \cap F_w \cap F_t$ are vertices and we obtain Fig. 10b). In the second case we obtain the same picture by the symmetry.

If $F_t \cap F_w = \varnothing$, then F_p is a hexagon.

By the symmetry considering the facets F_q and F_v we obtain that either all of them are pentagons, and we obtain Fig. 10b), or all of them are hexagons, and we obtain Fig. 10c). Since $F_p \cap F_q = F_q \cap F_v = F_v \cap F_w$, the boundary component of $|\mathcal{L}_3|$ intersecting F_t is a simple edge-cycle. Since both sets $\{F_j, F_l, F_s\}$ and $\{F_q, F_v, F_p\}$ consist of pairwise different facets and these sets belong to different connected components with respect to γ, the 6-loop $\mathcal{L}_3$ is simple. It has $(1,3,1,3,1,3)$ edges on the boundary component intersecting F_t. □

Let P contain the fragment on Fig. 10b). Consider the 6-loop $\mathcal{L} = (F_p, F_t, F_q, F_u, F_v, F_w)$. The facets $\mathcal{L} \setminus \{F_v\}$ are pairwise different, since four of them surround the fifth. The same if for $\mathcal{L} \setminus \{F_p\}$ and $\mathcal{L} \setminus \{F_q\}$. Any two facets of $\mathcal{L}$ belong to one of these sets, therefore $\mathcal{L}$ is a simple loop. $F_p \cap F_q = \varnothing$, else $F_p \cap F_q \cap F_t$ is a vertex and F_t is a quadrangle. Similarly $F_q \cap F_v = F_v \cap F_p = \varnothing$. Then $\partial|L|$ is a simple edge-cycle, and $\mathcal{L}$ has $(1,3,1,3,1,3)$ edges on it. Applying Lemma 3.24 to $\mathcal{L}$ we obtain the proof of the theorem. □

4. (s,k)-truncations and straightenings along edges

A natural generalization of operations drawn on Fig. 2 is an operation of cutting off a sequence of successive edges of some facet (see Fig. 11). This construction appears in other notations in [V12]. It is studied in details in [BE15].



FIGURE 11. $(3,7)$-truncation

Construction 4.1 ((s,k)-truncation)**.** Given $s+2$ successive edges $(E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_s, E_{s+1})$ of a k-gonal facet F of a combinatorial simple 3-polytope P, $0 \leqslant s \leqslant k-2$ consider the edges E_0, E_{s+1} of F in some geometric realization of P. Rotate the plane $\operatorname{aff} F$ around the axis passing through the midpoints of E_0 and E_{s+1} to obtain chosen $(E_1, \ldots, E_s)$ lying

in one halfspace, and other vertices of P – in the other. Then the intersection of the second halfspace with P gives a resulting polytope Q. This operation is correctly defined on combinatorial types of polytopes. The graph $G(Q)$ is obtained from $G(P)$ by dividing the edges E_0 and E_{s+1} by midpoints and adding a new edge E connecting the midpoints. We call this operation an (s,k)-*truncation* (see Fig. 11)

If the facets intersecting F by E_0 and E_{s+1} have t_0 and t_{s+1} edges respectively, then we also call the corresponding operation an $(s,k;t_0,t_{s+1})$-*truncation*.

For $s=1$, let $E_1 = F \cap F_{i_1}$ be the edge we cut off and F_{i_1} be a t_1-gon. Then combinatorially $(1,k;t_0,t_2)$-truncation is the same operation as $(1,t_1;t_0,t_2)$-truncation of the same edge of the facet F_{i_1}. Will call this operation simply a $(1;t_0,t_2)$-*truncation*.



FIGURE 12. $(1;s_1,s_2)$-truncation

Example 4.2. $(0,k)$-truncation is a vertex cut, $(1,k)$-truncations is an edge cut, and $(2,k)$-truncation is a cutting off of two incident edges (see. Fig. 2).

Let us describe the change of the face lattice under (s,k)-truncation.

Proposition 4.3. *Under (s,k)-truncation of a polytope P*

1. *the facets that do not contain E_0 or E_{s+1}, do not change the combinatorial type;*
2. *the facet F is divided into two facets: $(s+3)$-gon F' and $(k-s+1)$-gon F'', $F' \cap F'' = E$;*
3. *the number of edges of the facets intersecting F by E_0 and E_{s+1} increases by one.*

It is easy to see that combinatorially the $(k-s-2,k)$-truncation along edges in F complementary to $(E_0, E_1, \dots, E_s, E_{s+1})$ is the same operation as (s,k)-truncation.

The next result is contained in [V12]. See the proof in [BE15].

Lemma 4.4. *Let P be a flag 3-polytope. Then the polytope obtained by an (s,k)-truncation is flag if and only if $0 < s < k-2$.*

Now we define an operation that is combinatorially inverse to the (s,k)-truncation. This operation corresponds to an edge contraction of simplicial polytopes from [V12, V15].

Construction 4.5 (Straightening along the edge)**.** Let P be a combinatorial simple polytope, and let $E = F' \cap F''$ be an edge, where F' is an $(s+3)$-gon and F'' is an $(k-s+1)$-gon, $k \geqslant 3$, $0 \leqslant s \leqslant k-2$. If a geometrical realization of P is obtained from a geometrical realization of a combinatorial polytope Q by an (s,k)-truncation such that the facet $F \subset Q$ is divided into F' and F'', then we say that Q is obtained from P by *straightening along the edge E*. If the operation is defined, then the combinatorial type of Q is defined uniquely. The graph is changed in the following way. Let in $G(P)$ the



FIGURE 13. Straightening along the edge

edge E is incident to $E_1, E_2 \subset F''$ and $E_3, E_4 \subset F'$. Then $G(Q)$ is obtained from $G(P)$ by deletion of E and contraction of E_3 and E_4 (see Fig. 13).

Let us describe the change of facet lattice under the straightening along the edge.

Proposition 4.6. *Under the straightening along the edge of P*

(1) *the combinatorics of facets that do not intersect E does not change;*
(2) *The facets F' F'', containing E, are united into the facet F.*
(3) *the number of edges of facets intersecting E by a vertex decreases by one.*

Let P be a simple polytope. Let the edge $E = F_i \cap F_j$ connects the vertices $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_p$ and $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_q$, and the facet F_i is incident to $F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_s}$, and F_j – to $F_{j_1}, \dots, F_{j_t}$ (Fig. 14). The following result is proved in [BE15] on the base of the Steinitz Theorem for 3-polytopes.

Lemma 4.7 ([BE15])**.** *Let $P \not\simeq \Delta^3$ be a simple polytope. The operation of straightening along $E = F_i \cap F_j$ is defined if and only if $\{F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_s}\} \cap \{F_{j_1}, \dots, F_{j_t}\} = \varnothing$.*

Corollary 4.8. *For $P \simeq \Delta^3$ no straightening operations are defined. Let $P \not\simeq \Delta^3$ be a simple polytope. The operation of straightening along $E = F_i \cap F_j$ is defined if and only there is a 3-belt (F_i, F_j, F_k) for some F_k.*

Proof. The straightening operation decreases the number of facets, therefore it is not defined for a simplex. By Lemma 4.7 the straightening along E is not defined if and only $F_{i_a} = F_{j_b}$ for some a, b. This is equivalent to the fact that (F_i, F_j, F_{i_a}) is a 3-belt. □



FIGURE 14. A polytope in the neighborhood of $F_i \cap F_j$

Corollary 4.9. *A simple 3-polytope is flag if and only of it can be straightened along any edge.*

Corollary 4.10. *For a flag polytope P two adjacent facets and all the facets incident to them (Fig. 14) are pairwise different.*

Proof. All the facets except for $\{F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_s}\}$ are pairwise different, since any two of them either intersect, or intersect F_j by different edges. The same is for $\{F_{j_1}, \dots, F_{j_t}\}$. Therefore if two facets on the fragment coincide, then one of them if F_{i_a}, an the other is F_{j_b}. But they are different by Corollary 4.9 and Lemma 4.7. □

This result and the following Lemma and Theorem for simplicial 3-polytopes and an edge contraction operation were proved in [V12, V15].

Lemma 4.11 ([BE15])**.** *The polytope Q obtained by straightening the flag polytope P along the edge $F_i \cap F_j$ is flag if and only if there is a 4-belt (F_i, F_j, F_k, F_l).*

Theorem 4.12 ([V12, V15])**.** *Any flag combinatorial simple 3-polytope can be moved to a cube I^3 by a sequence of straightenings along edges in such a way that all intermediate polytopes are flag.*

This theorem was straightened in [BE15].

Theorem 4.13 ([BE15])**.** *A simple 3-polytope P is flag if and only if it is combinatorially equivalent to a polytope obtained from the cube by a sequence of $(1, k)$-truncations, $k \geqslant 4$, and $(2, k)$-truncation, $k \geqslant 6$.*

Proposition 4.14. *Let P be a simple polytope with all facets pentagons and hexagons with at most one exceptional facet F being a quadrangle or a heptagon. Then it can be straightened along any edge that does not intersect the quadrangular facet by a vertex to obtain a flag polytope.*

Proof. This follows from Theorems 3.9 and 3.15, Corollary 4.8 and Lemma 4.11. □

5. Realization of fullerenes

It turned out that for fullerenes Theorem 4.13 can be strengthened.

Theorem 5.1. *Let P be combinatorial fullerene.*

(1) *P can be obtained from the dodecahedron by a sequence of the following operations:*
   (a) *An operation drawn on Fig. 15a). This is a movement from k-th to $(k+1)$-th fullerene in Family I.*
   (b) *An operation drawn on Fig. 15b). This is a movement from k-th to $(k+1)$-th fullerene in Family II.*



a) *b)*

Figure 15.

   (c) *The Endo-Kroto operation drawn on Fig. 16a).*
   (d) *An operation drawn on Fig. 16b).*
   (e) *An operation drawn on Fig. 17.*
   (f) *An operation drawn on Fig. 18a). On the left there can be an arbitrary number $k \geqslant 3$ of hexagons;*



FIGURE 16.



FIGURE 17.

(g) *An operation drawn on Fig. 18b). On the left there can be arbitrary number* $k_1, k_2 \geqslant 1$, $\max\{k_1, k_2\} \geqslant 2$, *of hexagons before and after the corner hexagon.*



FIGURE 18.

(2) *Any of the operations in (1) is decomposed as a sequence of* $(1;4,5)$-, $(1;5,5)$-, $(2,6;4,5)$-, $(2,6;5,5)$-, $(2,6;5,6)$-, $(2,7;5,5)$-, *and* $(2,7;5,6)$-*truncations. All the intermediate polytopes have facets pentagons, hexagons, and no more than one exceptional facet, which is either a quadrangle, or a heptagon.*

(3) *Any of the operations in (1) is invertible in the following sense: if a combinatorial fullerene* P *contains a fragment on the right, then this fragment appears after an application of the corresponding operation to some fullerene* Q*, which gives the fullerene* P

Proof. The proof consists of two parts.

(1) First we prove that any combinatorial fullerene except for the dodecahedron contains one of the fragments on the right.

(2) Then we prove that any fragment on the right can be moved to the fragment on the left by a sequence of straightenings along edges, which will be defined due to Proposition 4.14.

Part (1).

Lemma 5.2. *Let* P *be a fullerene. If* P *is not an* IPR*-fullerene, then it contains one of the following fragments drawn on Fig. 19 with all the facets on the fragment being pairwise different.*

Proof. The facets on each fragment are pairwise different by Corollary 4.10, since they belong to a set of two adjacent facets and facets surrounding them.



FIGURE 19.

By assumption P contains two incident pentagons: F_i and F_j, $F_i \cap F_j \neq \varnothing$. Consider the facets F_k, F_l such that $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_k$ and $F_i \cap F_j \cap F_l$ are vertices. If both facets F_k, F_l are hexagons, then P contains the fragment c). Else one of them is a pentagon. Without loss of generality let it be F_k. We obtain three pentagons with a common vertex (Fig. 20a).

If F_p, F_q, F_l are pentagons, we obtain the fragment b).

Let two of them, say F_p and F_q be hexagons. Then either F_u is a pentagon and we obtain the fragment c), or F_u is a hexagon (Fig. 20b). If F_v, F_l, or F_w is a hexagon, we obtain the fragment d). If all of them are pentagons, we obtain the fragment b).

Now let one of the facets F_p, F_q, F_l, say F_l be a hexagon, and two others – pentagons (Fig. 20c). Then either F_u is a hexagon and we obtain the fragment d), or it is a pentagon and we obtain the fragment a).



FIGURE 20.

□

Lemma 5.3. *Let P be an IPR-fullerene. Then it contains one of the fragments drawn on Fig. 21 with all the facets on the fragment being pairwise different. Here*

(1) *on a) there can be arbitrary $k \geqslant 1$ hexagons between pentagons;*
(2) *on b) there can be arbitrary $k_1, k_2 \geqslant 0$, $\max\{k_1, k_2\} \geqslant 1$ hexagons between the left pentagon and the red hexagon, and between the red hexagon and the right pentagon.*

Proof. Consider the shortest thick path $\mathcal{P} = (F_{i_0}, F_{i_1}, \ldots, F_{i_{s+1}})$ connecting two different pentagons in P. Then $s \geqslant 1$.

Let $s = 1$. Then we obtain one of the fragment a) and c). On the fragment c) all the facets are pairwise different by Corollary 4.10. The fragments a) is drawn on Fig. 22. By Corollary 4.10 the facets $\{F_{i_0}, F_{i_1}\}$ and the facets surrounding them are pairwise different. The same is true for $\{F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}\}$. Then if two facets on the fragment coincide, then this is a pair of red hexagons. This is impossible, since they belong to the facets surrounding two hexagons F_{j_2} and F_{j_3}.



FIGURE 21.



FIGURE 22.

Now let $s \geqslant 2$. Then we have.

(1) $F_{i_0} \neq F_{i_{s+1}}$ are pentagons and facets in $\mathcal{H}_6 = \{F_{i_j}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant s\}$ are hexagons, else there is a shorter thick path than $\mathcal{P}$.

(2) F_{i_1} intersects no pentagons except for F_{i_0}, F_{i_k} intersects no pentagons except for $F_{i_{k+1}}$, and $F_{i_2}, \ldots, F_{i_{k-1}}$ intersect no pentagons. Else there is a thick path shorter than $\mathcal{P}$.

(3) All the facets in $\mathcal{H}_6$ are pairwise different, else if $F_{i_k} = F_{i_l}$ with $k < l$, then the thick path $(F_{i_0}, \ldots, F_{i_k}, F_{i_{l+1}}, \ldots, F_{i_{s+1}})$ is shorter than $\mathcal{P}$.

(4) If $F_{i_p} \cap F_{i_q} \neq \varnothing$ for $p < q$, then $q = p+1$. Else the thick path $(F_{i_1}, \ldots, F_{i_p}, F_{i_q}, F_{i_{q+1}}, \ldots, F_{i_s})$ is shorter than $\mathcal{P}$.

(5) For any vertex of P the thick path $\mathcal{P}$ passes no more than two facets containing this vertex. Indeed, if $F_{i_k} \cap F_{i_l} \cap F_{i_r}$ is a vertex for some $k < l < r$, then $F_{i_k} \cap F_{i_r} \neq \varnothing$, which contradicts (4).

(6) Consider a hexagon F_{i_k}. The edges $F_{i_{k-1}} \cap F_{i_k}$ and $F_{i_{k+1}} \cap F_{i_k}$ can not be incident, therefore we either «go forward», if they are opposite, or «turn left» or «turn right», if there is an edge incident to them both.

(7) Two successive turns can not be in the same direction. Without loss of generality assume that there are two successive turns left (Fig. 23a): after F_{i_p} and F_{i_q}, $q \geqslant p+1$. Then the «direct segment» $(F_{i_p}, F_{i_{p+1}}, \ldots, F_{i_{q-1}}, F_{i_q})$ borders on the left the «direct segment» $(F_{i_{p-1}}, F_{j_p}, \ldots, F_{j_{q-1}}, F_{i_{q+1}})$ (Fig. 23b), where $\{F_{j_p}, \ldots, F_{j_{q-1}}\}$ is some collection



FIGURE 23.

of hexagons by (2). Then the thick path $(F_{i_0}, \dots, F_{i_{p-1}}, F_{j_p}, \dots, F_{j_{q-1}}, F_{i_{q+1}}, \dots, F_{i_{s+1}})$ is shorter than $\mathcal{P}$.

(8) $\mathcal{B}$ can be chosen in such a way that there are no more than one «turn». Indeed, let there be two successive «turns». Consider the first two of them: after F_p, and after F_q, $q \geqslant p+1$. By (7) they should be in opposite directions. Without loss of generality we can assume that the first turn was left, and the second – right (Fig. 23c). Then on the right the «direct segment» $(F_{i_p}, F_{i_{p+1}}, \dots, F_{i_{q-1}}, F_{i_q})$ borders the «direct segment» $(F_{j_{p+1}}, \dots, F_{j_q}, F_{i_{q+1}})$, where $\{F_{j_{p+1}}, \dots, F_{j_q}\}$ are hexagons by (2). Then the thick path $(F_{i_0}, \dots, F_{i_p}, F_{j_{p+1}}, \dots, F_{j_q}, F_{i_{q+1}}, \dots, F_{i_{s+1}})$ has the same minimal length as $\mathcal{B}$, hence it has all the properties (1)-(7). The new thick path has the first turn later then $\mathcal{B}$. Applying this arguments to new paths we can move until there are no more than one turn. In the end we obtain a minimal path $\mathcal{B}'$. If there is no turns, then we obtain the fragment a). Else if the turn is left, we obtain the fragment b). If the turn is right, then walking backward we obtain the left turn.

To finish the proof we need to show that all the facets of the fragments a) and b) we obtained are pairwise different. We do this by steps.

(a) F_{i_0} and F_{i_s} are the only pentagons on the fragments, therefore they are different from other facets on the fragments.

(b) The facets of $\mathcal{B}'$ are pairwise different by (a) and (3).



FIGURE 24.

(c) $\{F_{i_0}, F_{i_1}\}$ and the facets surrounding them are pairwise different by Lemma 4.10. The same is true for $\{F_{i_s}, F_{i_{s+1}}\}$.

(d) By (c) if one of the facets F_u surrounding a pentagon F_{i_0} on the picture coincides with some other facet, then this should be either F_{i_l}, $3 \leqslant l \leqslant s-1$, or F_{j_r}, $4 \leqslant r \leqslant s+1$ on a) and $4 \leqslant r \leqslant s+2$ on b) . If $F_u = F_{i_l}$, then the thick path $(F_{i_0}, F_{i_l}, F_{i_{l+1}}, \dots, F_{i_{s+1}})$ is shorter then $\mathcal{B}'$. If $F_u = F_{j_r}$, then the thick path $(F_{i_0}, F_{j_r}, F_{i_{r-1}}, \dots, F_{i_{s+1}})$ is shorter than $\mathcal{B}'$ for all r on a) and for $r \leqslant p+1$ on b).

Consider the case b) when $F_u = F_{j_r}$, $p+2 \leqslant r \leqslant s+2$. The facets F_{i_1}, F_{j_1}, and F_{j_4} belong to the facets surrounding F_{j_2} and F_{j_3}, therefore $F_u \neq F_{j_4}$ and $r \geqslant 5$. Then the thick path $(F_{i_0}, F_{j_r}, F_{i_{r-2}}, \dots, F_{i_{s+1}})$ is shorter than $\mathcal{B}'$.

Thus we have proved that any of the facets on the picture surrounding F_{i_0} differs from all other facets. By the symmetry the same is true for the facets surrounding $F_{i_{s+1}}$.

(e) We have proved that if two facets coincide, then no more than one of them has the form F_{i_l}, $2 \leqslant l \leqslant s-1$, and others have the form F_{j_r}, where $3 \leqslant r \leqslant s+1$ for a) and $3 \leqslant r \leqslant s+2$ for b). If $F_{i_l} = F_{j_r}$, then for all r on a) and for $r \leqslant p+1$ on b) we have $F_{i_l} \notin \{F_{i_{r-2}}, F_{i_{r-1}}\}$, and $F_{i_l} \cap F_{i_{r-2}} \cap F_{i_{r-1}}$ is a vertex, which is a contradiction to (5). On

b) by the symmetry $F_{i_l} \neq F_{j_r}$ for $r \geqslant p+3$. On b) if $F_{i_l} = F_{j_{p+2}}$, then $F_{i_l} \cap F_{i_p} \neq \varnothing$. Then $l = p+1$ or $p-1$ by (4). This is a contradiction, since $F_{i_{p-1}}$, $F_{i_{p+1}}$ and $F_{j_{p+2}}$ are pairwise different as surrounding the facet F_{i_p}.

(f) Now let $F_{j_r} = F_{j_t}$ for $3 \leqslant r < t \leqslant s+1$ on a) or $3 \leqslant r < t \leqslant s+2$ on b). Then $t \geqslant r+3$, since the facets F_{j_r}, $F_{j_{r+1}}$, and $F_{j_{r+2}}$ surround either two successive facets F_{i_l} and $F_{i_{l+1}}$, or one facet F_{i_p}, hence are pairwise different. Then for all r, t on a) and for $t \leqslant p+1$ on b) the thick path $(F_{i_0}, \ldots, F_{i_{r-2}}, F_{j_r} = F_{j_t}, F_{i_{t-1}}, \ldots, F_{i_s})$ is shorter than $\mathcal{B}'$. The case $p+3 \leqslant r$ is symmetric on b). Now the case $r \leqslant p+2 \leqslant t$ is left. If $r \leqslant p+1$, $p+2 \leqslant t$, then the thick path $(F_{i_0}, \ldots, F_{i_{r-2}}, F_{j_r} = F_{j_t}, F_{i_{t-2}}, \ldots, F_{i_s})$ is shorter than $\mathcal{B}'$. The case $r \leqslant p+2$, $p+3 \leqslant t$ is symmetric. These two cases cover the remained possibilities.

Thus we have proved that all the facets on fragments a) and b) are indeed different. □

Now using Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 we obtain that any fullerene contains one of the fragments that can appear after application of one of the operation in (1).

Lemma 5.4. *Let a combinatorial fullerene P contain one of the fragments that appear after application of operations in (1). Then P indeed can be obtained from some fullerene Q by the corresponding operation.*

Any of the operations in (1) are decomposed as a composition of $(1;4,5)$-, $(1;5,5)$-, $(2,6;4,5)$-, $(2,6;5,5)$-, $(2,6;5,6)$-, $(2,7;5,5)$-, *and* $(2,7;5,6)$-*truncations.*

All the intermediate polytopes have facets pentagons, hexagons, and no more than one exceptional facet, which is either a quadrangle, or a heptagon.

Proof. On Fig. 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, and 30 for each fragment we find a sequence of straightenings along thickened edges that transforms it to the corresponding fragment before the application of the operation. These operations are well defined by Proposition 4.14.

Yellow color depicts pentagons, red color – hexagons, blue color – quadrangles, and green color – heptagons. We see that on each fragment on each step there are at most one exceptional facet, which is either a quadrangle or a heptagon. All other facets of the polytope are pentagons and hexagons.

Each thickened edge that belongs to a quadrangle intersects by vertices either a pentagon and a hexagon, or two hexagons. The inverse operation are $(1;4,5)$- and $(1;5,5)$-truncations.

Each thickened edge that belongs to two pentagons intersects by vertices either a pentagon and a hexagon, or two hexagons, or a hexagon and a heptagon. The inverse operations are $(2,6;4,5)$-, $(2,6;5,5)$-, and $(2,6;5,6)$-truncations.

Each thickened edge that belongs to a pentagon and a hexagon intersects by vertices either two hexagons, or a hexagon and a heptagon. The inverse operation are $(2,7;5,5)$- and $(2,7;5,6)$-truncations.



FIGURE 25.

□



FIGURE 26.



FIGURE 27.



FIGURE 28.



FIGURE 29.

Now the theorem follows directly from Lemma 5.4. The first two operations are movements from the k-th to the $(k+1)$-th fullerene in the Families I and II by Theorems 3.19 and 3.21. □

REFERENCES

[BD97] G. Brinkman, A.W.Dress, *A constructive enumaretation of fullerens*, J.Algorithms, 23:2(1997), 345-358.

[BFFG06] G. Brinkmann, D. Franceus, P.W. Fowler, J.E. Graver, *Growing fullerenes from seed: Growth transformations of fullerene polyhedra*, Chemical Physics Letters 428 (2006) 386–393.

[Br1900] M. Brükner, *Vielecke und Vielflache*, Leipzig,1900.

[BP15] Victor Buchstaber, Taras Panov, *Toric Topology*, AMS, 2015.

[BE15] V.M. Buchstaber, N.Yu. Erokhovets, *Truncations of simple polytopes and applications*, Proc. of the Steklov Inst. of Math., Vol. 289, Issue 1, pp 104-133.



FIGURE 30.

[DSS13] M. Deza, M. Dutour Sikiric, M.I. Shtogrin, *Fullerenes and disk-fullerenes*, Russian Math. Surveys, 68:4 (2013), 665–720.

[Eb1891] V. Eberhard, *Zur Morphologie der Polyheder*, Leipzig 1891.

[EK92] M. Endo, H.W. Kroto, *Formation of carbon nanofibers*, J. Phys. Chem. 96 (1992), 6941– 6944.

[GG10] J.E. Graver, C.M. Graves, *Fullerene patches I*, ARS MATHEMATICA CONTEMPORANEA 3 (2010) 109–120.

[GGG14] J.E. Graver, C.M. Graves, S.J. Graves, *Fullerene patches II*, ARS MATHEMATICA CONTEMPORANEA 7 (2014) 405–421.

[Gb03] B. Grünbaum, *Convex polytopes*, Graduate texts in Mathematics 221, Springer-Verlag, New Yo

[LMR06] E.A. Lord, B.A.L. Mackay, S. Ranganathan, *New Geometries for New Materials*, Cambridge University Press, 2006, 235 p.

[V12] V.D. Volodin, *Combinatorics of flag simplicial* 3*-polytopes*, arXiv: 1212.4696.

[V15] V.D. Volodin, *Combinatorics of flag simplicial* 3*-polytopes*, Russian Mathematical Surveys (2015),70(1):168.

[Z07] Günter M. Ziegler, *Lectures on polytopes*, Graduate texts in Mathematics 152, Springer, 2007.